

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,960	MATSUOKA ET AL.
	Examiner Omar Rojas	Art Unit 2874

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Omar Rojas.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Penny Caudle.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 April 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner noted to Penny Caudle that claim 16 was written so as to be dependent upon itself. It was agreed by all participants that claim 16 should be dependent on claim 15 instead.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)